| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--------------------------|
| | 10/600,818 | KRUSZ, JOHN CLAUDE |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Eric S. Olson | 1623 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>Abandoned</u> | |
| (1) Eric S. Olson. | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Patrick Kelly</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>31 October 2006</u> | Time: <u>1:00 PM</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No | | |
| If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant confirmed that the application is abandoned. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | · |
| Enic O MI-A | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |
| , wphodito | The same of the broad interior of the | natare – ii appropriate) |